

## Value of a Call Option

Thomas A. Weber\*

February 2016

A risk-neutral agent owns a European call option on an asset. The value of the underlying asset at the exercise date is described by a random variable  $\tilde{x}$  that takes values in  $[a, b]$ . The strike price of the option is equal to  $y \in [a, b]$ . We show that the agent prefers an *increase in risk* in the value of the underlying asset. Indeed, the (risk-neutral) agent's expected payoff is  $E[\tilde{x} - y]_+$ . More specifically, consider two random variables  $\tilde{x}$  (with cdf  $F$ ) and  $\tilde{z}$  (with cdf  $G$ ) on  $[a, b]$  with  $E\tilde{x} = E\tilde{z}$ , which each could describe the value of the underlying asset. The agent's expected profit with respect to  $\tilde{x}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_x(y) &= \int_y^b (x - y)dF(x) = b - y - \int_y^b F(x)dx = b - y - \left( \int_a^b F(x)dx - \int_a^y F(x)dx \right) \\ &= b - y - \left( (b - E\tilde{x}) - \int_a^y F(x)dx \right) = E\tilde{x} - y + \int_a^y F(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the agent's expected profit with respect to  $\tilde{z}$  is

$$\Pi_z(y) = E\tilde{z} - y + \int_a^y G(z)dz.$$

Hence,  $\Pi_x(y) \geq \Pi_z(y)$  if and only if

$$E[\tilde{x} - \tilde{z}] + \int_a^y F(x)dx - \int_a^y G(z)dz \geq 0.$$

In other words, since  $E\tilde{x} = E\tilde{z}$  by assumption, the agent prefers an increase in (pure) risk, i.e.,

$$\Pi_x(y) \geq \Pi_z(y) \text{ for all } y \in [a, b] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \tilde{x} \preceq_2 \tilde{z},$$

which completes the proof. Note that if the strike price  $y$  is fixed, then second-order stochastic dominance of  $\tilde{z}$  over  $\tilde{x}$  is only sufficient (but not necessary) for the agent to prefer  $\tilde{x}$  over  $\tilde{z}$ .

---

\*Chair of Operations, Economics and Strategy, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Station 5, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. Phone: +41 (21) 693 01 41. E-mail: thomas.weber@epfl.ch.